arXiv:hep-th/0311263v1 27 Nov 2003

# Particle production by the expanding thin-walled bubble

Michael Maziashvili

*Department of Theoretical Physics, Tbilisi State University, 0128 Tbilisi, Georgia*

## Abstract

Neglecting the effect of particle production at the moment of bubble nucleation, the spectrum of created particles during the bubble expansion is evaluated in the thin-wall approximation. It is shown that the expanding thin-walled bubble makes the dominant contribution to the particle production.

In this paper, as a step toward full understanding of the matter, we extend our analysis [1] and investigate the particle creation during the process of bubble growth, i.e. after the bubble nucleation, in the thin wall approximation. It has been shown that in the thin-wall approximation the particle production at the moment of bubble nucleation is strongly suppressed [1]. On the other hand, numerical calculations show that the particle production by the thick-walled bubble is very intensive [2]. The latter observation has led us to consider the particle creation which occurs during the process of bubble expansion in the thin-wall approximation. The question we ask is the following: can one neglect in the thin wall case the particle production after the bubble nucleation in comparison with the number of particles produced during the nucleation process? The expanding bubble is described by the $O(3,1)$ invariant function which is an analytic continuation of the bounce solution [3]. In the thin wall case, the bounce looks like a large four-dimensional spherical bubble with a thin wall separating the false vacuum without from the true vacuum within. As the bubble expands, the wall traces out the hyperboloid. The bounce solution provides the classical background with respect to which the quantum fluctuations are defined. The second quantization picture for the fluctuation field in process of false vacuum decay through the barrier penetration is thoroughly examined in [4, 5]. Throughout this paper the signature for the Minkowskian space-time metric is $(-,+,+,+)$ and $\hbar = c = 1$ is assumed. For the calculation of particle spectrum we use the standard formalism developed in [4, 5].

The lagrangian density is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - U(\phi), \tag{1}$$

where

$$U(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{2}\phi^2(\phi - 2a)^2 - \epsilon(\phi^3/2a^3 - 3\phi^4/16a^4), \tag{2}$$

and $a,\ \lambda,\ \epsilon$ are positive parameters. The potential (2) has a local minimum at $\phi_f = 0$, $U(0) = 0$, a global minimum at $\phi_t = 2a$, $U(2a) = -\epsilon$, and a local maximum at $\phi_{top} = 8\lambda a^5/(8\lambda a^4 + 3\epsilon)$, $U(\phi_{top}) = 128\lambda^3 a^{12}(2\lambda a^4 + \epsilon)/(8\lambda a^4 + 3\epsilon)^3$. The validity condition for the thin-wall approximation is $a^4\lambda/\epsilon \gg 1$, see [3]. Assuming the thin wall approximation the bounce solution with a good accuracy may be written as

$$\phi_b = a\{1 - \tanh(\mu[\rho - R])\}, \tag{3}$$

where $\mu = a\sqrt{\lambda}$ and the bubble radius $R = 2\mu^3/\epsilon\lambda$. Following the standard formalism it is convenient to use the coordinates which respect the symmetry of the background solution $\phi_b$, i.e. $O(4)$ and $O(3,1)$ in Euclidean and Minkowskian regions respectively. The coordinate system in the Euclidean region is given by $(\rho, \chi, \theta, \varphi)$ where $(\theta, \varphi)$ are usual angle coordinates on two-dimensional sphere and $(\rho, \chi)$ are related to $r = |\vec{x}|$ and $\tau$ by

$$\begin{gathered} r = \rho \sin(\chi),\ \tau = -\rho \cos(\chi), \\ 0 \leq \chi \leq \pi/2,\ 0 \leq \rho < \infty. \end{gathered} \tag{4}$$

The coordinates in the Minkowskian region are obtained by the replacement $(\rho, \chi) \rightarrow (-i\xi, -i\chi_M)$, which yields

$$\begin{gathered} r = \xi \sinh(\chi_M),\ t = \xi \cosh(\chi_M), \\ 0 < \chi_M < \infty,\ 0 < \xi < \infty. \end{gathered} \tag{5}$$

The equation governing the fluctuation field $\Phi$ reads

$$\left[ -\partial_\xi^2 - \frac{3}{\xi}\partial_\xi + \frac{1}{\xi^2}\hat{L}^2 - U''(\phi_b) \right] \Phi = 0, \tag{6}$$

where $\partial_\xi$ denotes the partial derivative with respect to $\xi$ and $\hat{L}$ is the Laplacian operator on three-dimensional unit hyperboloid. Expanding $\Phi$ in terms of harmonic functions on the three-dimensional hyperboloid $Y_{plm}$, $-\hat{L}^2 Y_{plm} = (1 + p^2) Y_{plm}$, the Eq.(6) for the mode function takes the form

$$\left[ \partial_\xi^2 + \frac{(p^2 + 1/4)}{\xi^2} + U''(\phi_b) \right] f = 0. \tag{7}$$

The analytic continuation of $f$ to the Euclidean region is performed by setting $\xi \rightarrow i\rho$. Under this continuation one obtains the equation for the mode function in the under-barrier region

$$\left[ \partial_\rho^2 + \frac{(p^2 + 1/4)}{\rho^2} - U''(\phi_b) \right] g = 0, \tag{8}$$

where $g$ is related to $f$ by the asymptotic boundary condition $f(\xi) = g(-i\xi)$ at $\xi \rightarrow 0$. At $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ the field $\phi$ is in false vacuum state and correspondingly the fluctuation field satisfies the vanishing boundary condition when $\rho \rightarrow \infty$. Since $U''(\phi_b) \rightarrow 4a^2\lambda$ when $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ the asymptotic solution to Eq.(8) satisfying the vanishing boundary condition is given by

$$g(\rho) = a(p)\sqrt{\rho} K_{ip}(2a\sqrt{\lambda}\rho),$$

where $K_{ip}(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. On the other hand, the asymptotic solution to Eq.(7) when $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ will have the form

$$f(\xi) = c_1(p) e^{-p\pi/2} \sqrt{\xi}\, H_{ip}^{(1)}(2a\sqrt{\lambda}\xi) + c_2(p) e^{p\pi/2} \sqrt{\xi}\, H_{ip}^{(2)}(2a\sqrt{\lambda}\xi),$$

where $H_{ip}^{(1)}(x)$ and $H_{ip}^{(2)}(x)$ are the Hankel functions of the first and second kinds, respectively. The spectrum of created particles $n(p)$ is given by

$$n(p) = \frac{1}{||c_1(p)/c_2(p)|^2 - 1|}. \tag{9}$$

For detailed description of the general formalism concerning the problem see [4, 5]. In what follows, we shall assume that the particle production does not occur at the moment of bubble nucleation.

To judge the accuracy of this assumption we first evaluate the spectrum of cretaed particles at the moment of bubble nucleation. Using the bounce solution (3) for $U''(\phi_b)$ one obtains

$$U''(\phi_b) = 2\mu^2\{3\tanh^2(\mu[\rho - R]) - 1\} + \epsilon\text{-term}.$$

For the sake of simplicity we approximate the well of $U''(\phi_b)$ in the region $|\rho - R| < \mu^{-1}$ by the square well

$$U''(\phi_b) = \begin{cases} 4\mu^2, & |\rho - R| \geq \mu^{-1}, \\ -d\mu^2, & |\rho - R| < \mu^{-1}, \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

where for a reasonable approximation we assume $1.5 \leq d < 2$. We neglect the contribution coming from the $\epsilon$-term because at $\phi = 0$ this term equals zero and at $\phi \sim a$ this is of order $\epsilon\lambda/\mu^2 \ll \mu^2$. The solution of Eq.(8) is given by

$$g(\rho) = \begin{cases} a_1\sqrt{\rho}I_{ip}(2\mu\rho) + a_2\sqrt{\rho}K_{ip}(2\mu\rho), & \rho \leq R_-, \\ a_3\sqrt{\rho}H^{(1)}_{ip}(\sqrt{d}\mu\rho) + a_4\overline{\sqrt{\rho}H^{(1)}_{ip}(\sqrt{d}\mu\rho)}, & R_- < \rho < R_+, \\ \sqrt{\rho}K_{ip}(2\mu\rho), & \rho \geq R_+, \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

where $R_\pm = R \pm \mu^{-1}$, overbar denotes complex conjugation, $\overline{H^{(1)}_{ip}(x)} = \exp(p\pi)H^{(2)}_{ip}(x)$, and $I_{ip}(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind. Since in the thin-wall approximation $\mu R_\pm \gg 1$ for matching of $g$ at the junction points $R_\pm$ one can use the asymptotic formulas. For $x \gg 1$ and $p^2 \ll x$ the asymptotic expressions are given by [6]

$$I_{ip}(x) \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}}\,e^x,\ K_{ip}(x) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}}\,e^{-x},\ H^{(1)}_{ip}(x) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}}\,e^{i(x - ip/2 - \pi/4)}. \tag{12}$$

For the coefficients $a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3,\ a_4$ one obtains

$$a_3 \propto (2 - i\sqrt{d})\,e^{-i(\sqrt{d}\mu R_+ - \pi/4)},\ a_4 \propto -(2 + i\sqrt{d})\,e^{i(\sqrt{d}\mu R_+ - \pi/4)},$$

where the proportionality coefficients are the same for $a_3,\ a_4$ and

$$a_1 \propto \sqrt{\pi}\,(4 + d)\sin(2\sqrt{d})e^{-2\mu R_-},\ a_2 \propto \left\{(4 - d)\sin(2\sqrt{d}) + 4\sqrt{d}\cos(2\sqrt{d})\right\}e^{2\mu R_-}/\sqrt{\pi},$$

the proportionality coefficients are the same for $a_1,\ a_2$. Then for small values of momentum the number of created particles $\tilde{n}(p)$ at the moment of bubble nucleation is evaluated as

$$\tilde{n}(p) \simeq \frac{(4 + d)^2}{(4\sqrt{d}\cot(2\sqrt{d}) + 4 - d)^2}\exp(-8\mu R_-). \tag{13}$$

For large values of momentum $\tilde{n}(p)$ decreases at least as [1]

$$\tilde{n}(p) \sim \exp(-2p\pi). \tag{14}$$

For evaluating the spectrum of created particles during the bubble expansion one has to solve Eq.(7) with the asymptotic boundary condition $f(\xi) = g(-i\xi)$ at $\xi \to 0$. The assumption that the particle production does not occur at the moment of bubble nucleation implies that the solution to Eq.(7) in the region $\xi < R_-$ is given by [4]

$$f(\xi) = c(p)\sqrt{\xi}H^{(1)}_{ip}(2\mu\xi).$$

Under this assumption the solution to Eq.(7) reads

$$f(\xi) = \begin{cases} c_1\sqrt{\xi}H_{ip}^{(1)}(2\mu\xi) + c_2\overline{\sqrt{\xi}H_{ip}^{(1)}(2\mu\xi)}, & \xi \geq R_+, \\ c_3\sqrt{\xi}L_{ip}(\sqrt{d}\mu\xi) + c_4\sqrt{\xi}K_{ip}(\sqrt{d}\mu\xi), & R_- < \xi < R_+, \\ \sqrt{\xi}H_{ip}^{(1)}(2\mu\xi), & \xi \leq R_-, \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

where $L_{ip}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\{I_{ip}(x) + I_{-ip}(x)\}$. Both $K_{ip}(x)$ and $L_{ip}(x)$ are real valued and even functions of $p$. Any of $L_{ip}(x), K_{ip}(x)$ and $I_{ip}(x)$ can be constructed from the remaining two functions by the identity

$$I_{ip}(x) = L_{ip}(x) - i\frac{\sinh(p\,\pi)}{\pi}K_{ip}(x).$$

Using the asymptotic formulas (12) the matching of $f$ at the junction points $R_\pm$ gives

$$c_3 \propto -\exp(-\sqrt{d}\mu R_-)(\sqrt{d} + 2i)\sqrt{\pi},\ \ c_4 \propto \exp(\sqrt{d}\mu R_-)(2i - \sqrt{d})/\sqrt{\pi},$$

where the proportionality coefficients are the same for $c_3,\ c_4$ and

$$c_1 \propto i4\sqrt{d}\cosh(2\sqrt{d}) + (d-4)\sinh(2\sqrt{d}),\ \ c_2 \propto -(d+4)\sinh(2\sqrt{d}),$$

again the proportionality coefficients are the same for $c_1,\ c_2$. Thus the spectrum of created particles during the bubble expansion for small values of momentum is approximately given by

$$n(p) \simeq \frac{(d+4)^2}{16d}\sinh^2(2\sqrt{d}). \tag{16}$$

For large values of $p$ and $x$ one can use the following asymptotic formulae [6]

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ip}(x) &\simeq \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}\,e^{-p\pi/2}}{(p^2-x^2)^{1/4}}\left\{\cos(\alpha(x) - \pi/4) + \frac{1}{12p}\sin(\alpha(x) - \pi/4)\right\}, \\ L_{ip}(x) &\simeq -\frac{e^{p\pi/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\,(p^2-x^2)^{1/4}}\left\{\sin(\alpha(x) - \pi/4) - \frac{1}{12p}\cos(\alpha(x) - \pi/4)\right\}, \\ H_{ip}^{(1)}(x) &\simeq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}\frac{e^{p\pi/2}}{(p^2+x^2)^{1/4}}}\,e^{i(\beta(x)-\pi/4)}\left\{1 + \frac{i}{12p}\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $\alpha(x) \equiv p\ln\{(p+(p^2-x^2)^{1/2})/x\} - (p^2-x^2)^{1/2}$ and $\beta(x) \equiv p\ln\{x/(p+(p^2+x^2)^{1/2})\} + (p^2+x^2)^{1/2}$. Assume $p \gg \mu R$ then the matching at the junction point $R_-$ gives

$$c_3 \propto (2\pi)^{1/2}e^{-p\pi/2}\left\{\frac{p}{\sqrt{d}\mu R_-}[\sin(\gamma) - i\cos(\gamma)] - \frac{\mu R_-}{2p}\left[\sqrt{d}\sin(\gamma) + \frac{4i}{\sqrt{d}}\cos(\gamma)\right]\right\},$$

$$c_4 \propto -(2\pi)^{-1/2}e^{p\pi/2}\left\{\frac{p}{\sqrt{d}\mu R_-}[\cos(\gamma) + i\sin(\gamma)] + \frac{\mu R_-}{2p}\left[\frac{4i}{\sqrt{d}}\sin(\gamma) - \sqrt{d}\cos(\gamma)\right]\right\},$$

where the proportionality coefficients are the same for $c_3,\ c_4$, and $\gamma \equiv \alpha(\sqrt{d}\mu R_-) - \pi/4$. Using these coefficients and asymptotic formulae (17) from matching at $R_+$ one obtains that $|c_1/c_2|$ behaves as $p^2/(\mu R)^2$. Correspondingly, for large values of momentum

$$n(p) \sim \left(\frac{p}{\mu R}\right)^{-4}. \tag{18}$$

Thus, in contrast to Eq.(14) the particle production by the expanding thin-walled bubble follows a power law in $p$ when $p \to \infty$. From Eq.(18) one concludes that the contribution to the number of created particles coming from the ultraviolet region is finite. From Eq.(16) one sees that even in the thin-wall case the particle creation is not really suppressed if the expansion of the bubble is considered. However, in this case the particle production is not so intensive as in the thick-wall approximation [2]. This result is not strictly correct since we neglected the constraint on the particle production which arises due to fact that the proper fluctuation field associated with the tunneling is the transverse part of total fluctuation field with respect to the bounce solution [7]. Summarizing the above results one concludes that in the thin-wall approximation particle production is dominated by the expanding bubble.

## Acknowledgments

It is our pleasure to acknowledge helpful conversations with Professors A. Khelashvili and I. Lomidze.

## References

[1] M. Maziashvili, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A18** (2003) 993.

[2] M. Maziashvili, hep-th/0311232.

[3] S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. **D15** (1977) 2929.

[4] K. Yamamoto, T. Tanaka, and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. **D51** (1995) 2968;

[5] T. Hamazaki, M. Sasaki T. Tanaka, and K. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 2045.

[6] T. Dunster, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **21** (1990) 995.

[7] M. Maziashvili, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A18** (2003) 1895.